arXiv:1702.05791v1 [math.CO] 19 Feb 2017

# A new approach to $e$-positivity for Stanley's chromatic functions

Alexander Paunov, András Szenes

February 2016

**Abstract**

In this paper, we study positivity phenomena for the $e$-coefficients of Stanley's chromatic function of a graph. We introduce a new combinatorial object: the *correct* sequences of unit interval orders, and using these, in certain cases, we succeed to construct combinatorial models of the coefficients appearing in Stanley's conjecture. Our main result is the proof of positivity of the coefficients $c_{n-k,1^k}$, $c_{n-2,2}$, $c_{n-3,2,1}$ and $c_{2^k,1^{n-2k}}$ of the expansion of the chromatic symmetric function in terms of the basis of the elementary symmetric polynomials for the case of $(3+1)$-free posets.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite graph, $V(G)$ - the set of vertices of $G$, $E(G)$ - the set of edges of $G$.

**Definition 1.1.** A *proper coloring* $c$ of $G$ is a map

$$c : V \to \mathbb{N}$$

such that no two adjacent vertices are colored in the same color.

For each coloring $c$ we define a monomial

$$x^c = \prod_{v \in V} x_{c(v)},$$

where $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...$ are commuting variables. We denote by $\Pi(G)$ the set of all proper colorings of $G$, and by $\Lambda$ the ring of symmetric functions in the infinite set of variables $\{x_1, x_2, ...\}$.

In [2], Stanley defined the chromatic symmetric function of a graph.

**Definition 1.2.** The *chromatic symmetric function* $X_G \in \Lambda$ of a graph $G$ is the sum of the monomials $x^c$ over all proper colorings of $G$:

$$X_G = \sum_{c \in \Pi(G)} x^c.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Denote by $e_m$ the $m$-th elementary symmetric function:

$$e_m = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_m} x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdot ... \cdot x_{i_m},$$

where $i_1, .., i_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a non-increasing sequence of positive integers (we will call these *partitions*)

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_k),\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N},$$

we define the elementary symmetric function $e_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{k} e_{\lambda_i}$. These functions form a basis of $\Lambda$.

For a natural number $k$, we denote by $1^k$ the partition $\lambda$ of length $k$, where

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = ... = \lambda_k = 1.$$

**Definition 1.4.** A symmetric function $X \in \Lambda$ is *e-positive* if it has non-negative coefficients in the basis of the elementary symmetric functions.

**Definition 1.5.** Denote by $p_m$ the $m$-th power sum symmetric function:

$$p_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i^m.$$

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_k)$, we define the power sum symmetric function $p_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{\lambda_i}$. These functions also form a basis of $\Lambda$.

**Definition 1.6.** Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_k)$, we define the monomial symmetric function

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_k} \sum_{\lambda' \in S_k(\lambda)} x_{i_1}^{\lambda'_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\lambda'_1} \cdot ... \cdot x_{i_k}^{\lambda'_k},$$

where the inner sum is taken over the set of all permutations of the sequence $\lambda$, denoted by $S_k(\lambda)$.

**Example 1.7.** The chromatic symmetric function of $K_n$, the complete graph on $n$ vertices, is $e$-positive: $X_{K_n} = n!\, e_n$.

**Definition 1.8.** For a poset $P$, the *incomparability graph*, $\mathrm{inc}(P)$, is the graph with elements of $P$ as vertices, where two vertices are connected if and only if they are not comparable in $P$.

**Definition 1.9.** Given a pair of natural numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^2$, we say that a poset $P$ is *(a+b)-free* if it does not contain a length-$a$ and a length-$b$ chain, whose elements are incomparable.

**Definition 1.10.** A unit interval order (UIO) is a partially ordered set which is isomorphic to a finite subset of $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the following poset structure:

$$\text{for } u, w \in U: \ u \succ w \text{ iff } u \geq w + 1.$$

Thus $u$ and $w$ are incomparable precisely when $|u - w| < 1$ and we will use the notation $u \sim w$ in this case.

**Theorem 1.11** (Scott-Suppes [1])**.** *A finite poset $P$ is a UIO if and only if it is $(2+2)$- and $(3+1)$-free.*

Stanley [2] initiated the study of incomparability graphs of $(3+1)$-free partially ordered sets. Analyzing the chromatic symmetric functions of these incomparability graphs, Stanley [2] stated the following positivity conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.12** (Stanley)**.** *If $P$ is a $(3+1)$-free poset, then $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}$ is e-positive.*

For a graph $G$ let us denote by $c_\lambda(G)$ the coefficients of $X_G$ with respect to the $e$-basis. We omit the index $G$ whenever this causes no confusion:

$$X_G = \sum_\lambda c_\lambda e_\lambda.$$

Conjecture 1.12 has been verified with the help of computers for up to 20-element posets [6]. In 2013, Guay-Paquet [6] showed that to prove this conjecture, it would be sufficient to verify it for the case of $(3+1)$- and $(2+2)$-free posets, i.e. for unit interval orders (see Theorem 1.11). More precisely:

**Theorem 1.13** (Guay-Paquet)**.** *Let $P$ be a $(3+1)$-free poset. Then, $X_{\mathrm{inc}}(P)$ is a convex combination of the chromatic symmetric functions*

$$\{X_{\mathrm{inc}}(P') \mid P' \text{ is a } (3+1)- \text{ and } (2+2)-\text{free poset}\}.$$

The strongest general result in this direction is that of Gasharov [3].

**Definition 1.14.** For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_k)$, define the Schur functions $s_\lambda = \det(e_{\lambda_i^* + j - i})_{i,j}$, where $\lambda^*$ is the conjugate partition to $\lambda$. The functions $\{s_\lambda\}$ form a basis of $\Lambda$.

**Definition 1.15.** A symmetric polynomial $X$ is *s-positive* if it has non-negative coefficients in the basis of Schur functions.

Obviously, a product of $e$-positive functions is $e$-positive. This also holds for $s$-positive functions. Thus, the equality $e_n = s_{1^n}$ implies that $e$-positive functions are $s$-positive, and thus $s$-positivity is weaker than $e$-positivity.

**Theorem 1.16** (Gasharov)**.** *If $P$ is a $(3+1)$-free poset, then $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P)}$ is s-positive.*

Gasharov proved $s$-positivity by constructing so-called $P$-tableau and finding a one-to-one correspondence between these tableau and $s$-coefficients [3]. However, $e$-positivity conjecture 1.4 is still open. The strongest known result on the $e$-coefficients was obtained by Stanley in [2]. He showed that sums of $e$-coefficients over the partitions of fixed length are non-negative:

**Theorem 1.17** (Stanley)**.** *For a finite graph $G$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose*

$$X_G = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda e_\lambda,$$

*and let sink$(G, j)$ be the number of acyclic orientation of $G$ with $j$ sinks. Then*

$$\mathit{sink}(G, j) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=j} c_\lambda.$$

**Remark 1.18.** *By taking $j = 1$, it follows from the theorem that $c_n$ is non-negative.*

Stanley in [2] showed that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the unit interval order $P_n = \{\frac{i}{2}\}_{i=1}^{n}$, the corresponding $X_{\mathrm{inc}(P_n)}$ is $e$-positive, while $e$-positivity for the UIOs

$$P_{n,k} = \left\{\frac{i}{k+1}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$$

with $k > 1$ has not yet been proven. It was checked for small $n$ and some $k$ (see [2]).

Next, we introduce *correct sequences* (abbreviated as *corrects*), defined below. These play a major role in the article.

**Definition 1.19.** Let U be a UIO. We will call a sequence $\vec{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_k)$ of elements of $U$ *correct* if

- $w_i \not\succ w_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$
- and for each $j = 2, \ldots, k$, there exists $i < j$ such that $w_i \not\prec w_j$.

Every sequence of length 1 is correct, and sequence $(w_1, w_2)$ is correct precisely when $w_1 \sim w_2$. The second condition (supposing that the first one holds) may be reformulated as follows: for each $j = 1, \ldots k$, the subset $\{w_1, \ldots, w_j\} \subset U$ is connected with respect to the graph structure $(U, \sim)$. Using this notation, we prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.20.** *Let $X_{inc(U)} = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda e_\lambda$ be a chromatic symmetric function of the $n$-element unit interval order $U$. Then $c_n$ is equal to the number of corrects of length $n$, in which every element of $U$ is used exactly once.*

**Corollary 1.20.1.** *Let $X_{inc(P)} = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda e_\lambda$ be a chromatic symmetric function of $n$-element $(3+1)$-free poset $P$, then $c_n$ is a nonnegative integer.*

Indeed, positivity for the general case follows from Theorem 1.13, which presents the chromatic symmetric function of a $(3+1)$-free poset as a convex combination of the chromatic symmetric functions of unit interval orders.

Stanley [7] and Chow [5] showed the positivity of $c_n$ for $(3+1)$-free posets using combinatorial techniques, and linked $e$-coefficients with the acyclic orientations of the incomparability graphs. The construction of corrects not only serves this purpose for UIOs (see [10]), but also creates a new approach, which allows us to obtain the following new result:

**Theorem 1.21.** *Let $X_{inc(P)} = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda e_\lambda$ be a chromatic symmetric function of the $(3+1)$-free poset $P$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $c_{n-k,1^k}$, $c_{n-2,2}$, $c_{n-3,2,1}$ and $c_{2^k,1^{n-2k}}$ are non-negative integers.*

The proofs of Theorem 1.20 and Theorem 1.16, and positivity of correspondent $G$-power sum symmetric functions and Schur $G$-symmetric functions can be found in [9] and [10]. The article is structured as follows: in Section 2, we describe the $G$-homomorphism introduced by Stanley in [7], which is essential for our approach. Positivity of $c_{n-k,1^k}$, $c_{n-2,2}$, $c_{n-3,2,1}$ and $c_{2^k,1^{n-2k}}$ (Theorem 1.21) is proven in Section 3.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Emanuele Delucchi and Bart Vandereycken for their help and useful discussions.

## 2 Stanley's $G$-homomorphism

For a graph $G$, Stanley [7, p. 6] defined $G$-analogues of the standard families of symmetric functions. Let $G$ be a finite graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. We will think of the elements of $V(G)$ as commuting variables.

**Definition 2.1.** For a positive integer $i$, $1 \leq i, \leq n$, we define the *$G$-analogues* of the elementary symmetric polynomials, or *the elementary $G$-symmetric polynomials*, as follows

$$e_i^G = \sum_{\substack{\#S=i \\ S-\text{stable}}} \prod_{v \in S} v,$$

where the sum is taken over all $i$-element subsets $S$ of $V$, in which no two vertices form an edge, i.e. stable subsets. We set $e_0^G = 1$, and $e_i^G = 0$ for $i < 0$.

Note that these polynomials are not necessarily symmetric.

Let $\Lambda_G \subset \mathbb{R}[v_1, ..., v_n]$ be the subring generated by $\{e_i^G\}_{i=1}^n$. The map $e_i \mapsto e_i^G$ extends to a ring homomorphism $\phi_G : \Lambda \to \Lambda_G$, called the *$G$-homomorphism*. For $f \in \Lambda$, we will use the notation $f^G$ for $\phi_G(f)$.

**Example 2.2.** Given a partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ... \geq \lambda_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$e_\lambda^G = \prod_{i=1}^{k} e_i^G,$$

$$s_\lambda^G = \det(e^G_{\lambda_i^*+j-i}).$$

For an integer function $\alpha : V \to \mathbb{N}$ and $f^G \in \Lambda_G$, let

$$v^\alpha = \prod_{v \in V} v^{\alpha(v)},$$

and $[v^\alpha]f^G$ stands for the coefficient of $v^\alpha$ in the polynomial $f^G \in \Lambda_G$.

Let $G^\alpha$ denote the graph, obtained by replacing every vertex $v$ of $G$ by the complete subgraph of size $\alpha(v)$: $K^v_{\alpha(v)}$. Given vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, a vertex of $K^v_{\alpha(v)}$ is connected to a vertex of $K^u_{\alpha(u)}$ if and only if $u$ and $v$ form an edge in $G$.

Considering the Cauchy product [8, ch. 4.2], Stanley [7, p. 6] found a connection between the $G$-analogues of symmetric functions and $X_G$. Following Stanley [7], we set

$$T(x, v) = \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) e_\lambda^G(v),$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions. Then

$$[v^\alpha]T(x, v) \prod_{v \in V} \alpha(v)! = X_{G^\alpha}. \tag{1}$$

Using the Cauchy identity

$$\sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) s_{\lambda^*}(y) = \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) e_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\lambda} e_\lambda(x) m_\lambda(y)$$

and applying the $G$-homomorphism, one obtains:

$$T(x,v) = \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) e^G_\lambda(v) = \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) s^G_{\lambda^*}(v) = T(v,x) = \sum_{\lambda} e_\lambda(x) m^G_\lambda(v). \tag{2}$$

An immediate consequence of the formulas (1) and (2) is the following result of Stanley:

**Theorem 2.3** (Stanley)**.** *For every finite graph $G$*

1. *$X_{G^\alpha}$ is s-positive for every $\alpha : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $s^G_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}[V(G)]$ for every partition $\lambda$.*
2. *$X_{G^\alpha}$ is e-positive for every $\alpha : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $m^G_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}[V(G)]$ for every partition $\lambda$.*

**Remark 2.4.** *If $X_{G^\alpha} = \sum_{\lambda} c^\alpha_\lambda e_\lambda$, then $c^\alpha_\lambda = [v^\alpha] m^G_\lambda$. Hence, monomial positivity of $m^G_\lambda$ is equivalent to the positivity of $c^\alpha_\lambda$ for every $\alpha$.*

The proofs of positivity of $G$-power sum symmetric functions and Schur $G$-symmetric functions for the case of unit interval orders can be found in [9].

# 3 Proofs of the theorems

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that to prove that the graph $G$ is $e$-positive, it is enough to show the monomial positivity of its monomial $G$-symmetric functions. On the other hand, Guay-Paquet in Theorem 1.13 showed that it is sufficient to check $e$-positivity for unit interval orders, in order to prove it for the general case of $(3+1)$-free posets. Therefore, in the following section 3 we analyze the functions $m^G_\lambda$ for the case $G = \mathrm{inc}(U)$, where $U$ is UIO.

Let us repeat the definition of a central notion for our work, that of correct sequences of elements of a unit interval order.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(U, \prec)$ be a unit interval order, and $G = \mathrm{inc}(U)$. We will call a sequence $\vec{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_k)$ of elements of $U$ *correct* if

- $w_i \not\succ w_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$
- and for each $j = 2, \ldots, k$, there exists $i < j$ such that $w_i \not\prec w_j$.

We denote by $P^U_k$ the set of all correct sequences (abbreviated as *corrects*) of length $k$. Since $G$ is uniquely defined by $U$, and we are working only with UIO, here and below we use the $U$-index instead of $G$. The $U$-analogues of symmetric functions will be analyzed.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $U$ be a unit interval order and $p^U_k$ the Stanley power-sum function of the corresponding incomparability graph. Then, for every natural $k$, we have*

$$p^U_k = \sum_{\vec{w} \in P^U_k} w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_k \ \in N[U],$$

*where the sum is taken over all corrects of length $k$.*

The proof of this theorem can be found in [9].

Below, we prove positivity of $m^U_{l,1^k}$, $m^U_{l,2}$, $m^U_{l,2,1}$, and $m^U_{2^l,1^k}$. We need the following mild technical generalization of correct sequences: let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k)$ be a partition of $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i$. Then, we will call sequence $(w_1, \ldots, w_{|\lambda|})$ $\lambda$-*correct* if each of the subsequences $(w_1, \ldots w_{\lambda_1})$, $(w_{\lambda_1+1}, \ldots, w_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2})$,$\ldots$ $(w_{|\lambda|-\lambda_k+1}, \ldots, w_{|\lambda|})$ are correct. Introduce the set

$$P^U_\lambda = \{\vec{w} = (w_1, \ldots w_{\lambda_1} | w_{\lambda_1+1}, \ldots, w_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} | \ldots | w_{|\lambda|-\lambda_k+1}, \ldots, w_{|\lambda|}) \mid \vec{w} \text{ is } \lambda\text{-correct } \}$$

of $\lambda$-correct sequences of length-$|\lambda|$. In particular, $P^U_l$ is the set of $l$-corrects of $U$. This definition is consistent with Theorem 3.2, and we have:

$$p^U_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p^U_{\lambda_i} = \sum_{\vec{w} \in P^U_\lambda} w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{|\lambda|}.$$

For $\vec{w} = (w_1, \ldots w_l) \in P^U_l$ and $z \in U$ we write $z \succ \vec{w}$, if $z \succ w_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let*

$$M^U_{l,1} = \{(\vec{w}\ |z) \in P_{l.1}|\ z \succ \vec{w} \vee z \prec w_l\},$$

*then*

$$m^U_{l,1} = \sum_{(\vec{w};z)\in M^U_{l,1}} w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_l \cdot z.$$

**Remark 3.4.** *According to Remark 2.4, this implies* $c_{n-1,1}(U) \geq 0$.

*Proof.* Since $P^U_{l+1} \subset P^U_l \times P^U_1$, using the following relation

$$m^U_{l,1} = p^U_l \cdot p^U_1 - p^U_{l+1},$$

we have

$$P^U_l \times P^U_1 \setminus P^U_{l+1} = M^U_{l,1},$$

and, as a consequence,

$$m^U_{l,1} = \sum_{(\vec{w};z)\in M^U_{l,1}} w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_l \cdot z.$$

□

Next, we introduce the set

$$E^U_k = \{\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k)|\ \varepsilon_i \prec \varepsilon_{i+1}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k\}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *For natural numbers* $l$ *and* $k$, *let*

$$M^U_{l,1^k} = \{(\vec{w}\ |\vec{\varepsilon}) \in P^U_l \times E^U_k|\ \varepsilon \prec w_l\ \vee\ \varepsilon \succ \vec{w}, \textit{ for every } \varepsilon \in \vec{\varepsilon}\},$$

*Then,*

$$m^U_{l,1^k} = \sum_{(\vec{w};\vec{\varepsilon})\in M^U_{l,1^k}} w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_l \cdot \varepsilon_1 \cdot ... \cdot \varepsilon_k.$$

**Remark 3.6.** *According to Remark 2.4, this implies* $c_{n-k,1^k}(U) \geq 0$.

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on $k$. Note that for $k = 1$, the definition of $M^U_{l,1^k}$ coincides with $M^U_{l,1}$ from Theorem 3.3. Thus, the case $k = 1$ follows from Theorem 3.3.

Assume the statement is true for $k$, and consider the standard equation

$$p^U_l * e^U_{k+1} = m^U_{l,1^{k+1}} + m^U_{l+1,1^k}.$$

Below, we construct a pair of inverse maps, $\phi_{l,1^{k+1}}$ and $\psi_{l,1^{k+1}}$ from the left part to the right part of the latter equation and vice versa respectively. Every case is followed by a visual illustration.

**I. We define**

$$\phi_{l,1^{k+1}} : P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1} \to M^U_{l,1^{k+1}} \sqcup M^U_{l+1,1^k}$$

**as follows:**

Let $(\vec{w}\ |\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) \in P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1}$

1. If $\varepsilon_i \prec w_l\ \vee\ \varepsilon_i \succ \vec{w}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, then

$$\phi_{l,1^{k+1}}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) = (\vec{w}\ ;\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) \in M^U_{l,1^{k+1}}.$$

**II. The inverse of map** $\psi_{l,1^{k+1}}$**:**

$$\psi^1_{l,1^{k+1}} : M^U_{l,1^{k+1}} \to P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1};$$
$$\psi^2_{l,1^{k+1}} : M^U_{l+1,1^k} \to P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1}.$$

Let $(\vec{w}\ ;\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) \in M^U_{l,1^{k+1}}$ and $(\vec{w}\ , z; \vec{\nu}\ ) \in M^U_{l+1,1^k}$.

1. For $(\vec{w}\ ;\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) \in M^U_{l,1^{k+1}}$, we have:

$$\psi^1_{l,1^{k+1}}(\vec{w}\ ;\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) = (\vec{w}\ |\vec{\varepsilon}\ ) \in P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1}.$$




Figure 1: Illustration of 1.|1.

2. If $\exists\ i$, s.t. $\varepsilon_i \not\prec w_l\ \wedge\ \varepsilon_i \not\succ \vec{w}$, then define

$$m = \max(i\,|1 \leq i \leq k{+}1, \varepsilon_i \not\prec w_l \wedge \varepsilon_i \not\succ \vec{w}),$$

then we have

$$\phi_{l,1^{k+1}}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{\varepsilon}\,) = \\ = (\vec{w}\ , \varepsilon_m; \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_{m-1}, \varepsilon_{m+1}, .., \varepsilon_{k+1}) \in M^U_{l+1,1^k}.$$

2. For $(\vec{w}\ , z; \vec{\nu}\,) \in M^U_{l+1,1^k}$, we define

$$j = \min(i\ |1 \leq i \leq k, z \prec \nu_i),$$

then we have

$$\psi^2_{l,1^{k+1}}(\vec{w}\ , z; \vec{\nu}\,) = \\ = (\vec{w}\ |\nu_1, ..., \nu_{j-1}, z, \nu_j, .., \nu_k) \in P^U_l \times E^U_{k+1}.$$




Figure 2: Illustration of 2.|2.

This completes the proof. □

Given a correct $\vec{w} \in P_l^U$, let

$$\theta = \theta(\vec{w}) = \max(\{i < l |\ w_i \sim w_{i+1}\}) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } J_{l-1} = (w_1, ..., w_{l-1}) \in P_{l-1}.$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *For natural $l \geq 2$, let*

$$M_{l,2}^U = \{(\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1) \in P_{l.2}^U |\ J_{l-1} \prec q_0 \text{ and } w_l \prec q_1\ \vee\ w_\theta \succ q_0 \text{ and } w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1\}.$$

*Then,*

$$m_{l,2}^U = \sum_{(\vec{w};q_0,q_1) \in M_{l,2}^U} w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_l \cdot q_0 \cdot q_1.$$

**Remark 3.8.** *According to Remark 2.4, this implies $c_{n-2,2}(U) \geq 0$.*

**Remark 3.9.** *There is a slightly more elegant version of $M_{l,2}^U$, which we will use in the future:*

$$M_{l,2}^U = \{(\vec{w}, q_0, q_1) \in P_{l,2}^U |\ (J_{l-1} \prec q_0 \wedge w_l \prec q_1)\ \vee\ (w_\theta \succ q_0 \wedge w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1)\}$$

Here is an illustration of an element of $M_{l,2}^U$:




Figure 3: Illustration of $M_{l,2}^U$.

*Proof.* We can write

$$P_{l,2}^U \setminus M_{l,2}^U = \{(\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1) \in P_{l.2}^U |\ (\vec{w} \prec q_0 \Rightarrow (w_l \not\prec q_1 \wedge J_{l-1} \not\prec q_1)\ \wedge\ (w_\theta \succ q_0 \Rightarrow w_{\theta+1} \not\succ q_1)\}. \quad (3)$$

The conditions in (3) have the form $A \wedge B$. We begin with a few remarks.

1. Observe that the conditions of $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive, so we can consider the two statements independently.
2. Define $\tau = \max\{i \leq l |\ q_1 \not\prec w_i \vee w_i \sim w_{i+1}\}$. Note that it could happen that $q_1 \prec \theta$, but clearly $\tau \geq \theta$.
3. For $\vec{u} \in P_{l+2}^U$, let $U_{l-1} = (u_1, ..., u_{l-1})$ and $\breve{\theta} = \max(\{i < l |\ u_i \sim u_{i+1}\})$.

To prove the theorem, we consider the following formula

$$m_{l,2}^U = p_l^U \cdot p_2^U - p_{l+2}^U.$$

and construct two injective maps.

**I. We define**

$$\phi : P_{l,2}^U \setminus M_{l,2}^U \to P_{l+2}^U$$

**as follows:**

Let $(\vec{w}, q_0, q_1) \in P_{l,2}^U \setminus M_{l,2}^U$.

1. If $\vec{w} \prec q_0$, then we define

$$\phi(\vec{w}, \vec{q}\ ) = (...q_1, w_l, q_0),$$

**II.**

$$\psi : P_{l+2}^U \to P_{l,2}^U \setminus M_{l,2}^U,$$

**the inverse of map $\psi$:**

Let $(u_1, ..., u_{l+2}) \in P_{l+2}^U$.

1. If $u_{l+2} \succ U_{l-1}$ and $u_{l+2} \succ u_{l+1}$, then

$$\psi(\vec{u}\ ) = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{l-1}, u_{l+1} | u_{l+2}, u_l)$$

which is in $P^U_{l+2}$, since

$$w_l \not\prec q_1 \wedge J_{l-1} \not\prec q_1.$$




Figure 4: Illustration of $\phi_{l|2}$, if $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$.
Illustration of $\psi_{l|2}$, if $u_{l+2} \succ U_{l-1}$ and $u_{l+2} \succ u_{l+1}$.

2. $q_0 \prec w_\tau$ implies $A$, and implies $\tau > \theta$; then we define

$$\phi(\vec{w}, \vec{q}\,) = (...w_\tau, q_1, q_0, w_{\tau+1}, ...).$$

Here and below, $\tau + 1$ might be equal to $l+1$, in which case we simply omit $w_{\tau+1}$.

2. if $u_{\breve{\theta}+1} \prec u_{\breve{\theta}-1}$, then

$$\psi(\vec{u}\,) = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{\breve{\theta}-1}, u_{\breve{\theta}+2}, ..., u_{l+2} | u_{\breve{\theta}+1}, u_{\breve{\theta}}),$$

Here and below, $\breve{\theta} + 1$ might be equal to $l+2$, in which case we simply omit $u_{\breve{\theta}+2}$.




Figure 5: Illustration of $\phi_{l|2}$, if $q_0 \prec w_\tau$.
Illustration of $\psi_{l|2}$, if $u_{\breve{\theta}+1} \prec u_{\breve{\theta}-1}$.

3. Finally, if $\vec{w} \not\prec q_0$ and $q_0 \not\prec w_\tau$, then

$$\phi(\vec{w}, \vec{q}\,) = (...w_\tau, q_0, q_1, w_{\tau+1}, ...).$$

Here and below, $\tau + 1$ might be equal to $l+1$, in which case we simply omit $w_{\tau+1}$.

3. if $u_{\breve{\theta}+1} \not\prec u_{\breve{\theta}-1}$, then

$$\psi(\vec{u}\,) = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{\breve{\theta}-1}, u_{\breve{\theta}+2}, ..., u_{l+2} | u_{\breve{\theta}}, u_{\breve{\theta}+1}).$$

Here and below, $\breve{\theta} + 1$ might be equal to $l+2$, in which case we simply omit $u_{\breve{\theta}+2}$.




Figure 6: Illustration of $\phi_{l|2}$, if $q_0 \not\prec w_\tau$.
Illustration of $\psi_{l|2}$, if $u_{\breve{\theta}+1} \not\prec u_{\breve{\theta}-1}$.

□

To find a combinatorial interpretation of $m^U_{l,2,1}$, we construct a bijection between the right and left hand sides of the following equality:

$$p^U_l * m^U_{2,1} = m^U_{l+2,1} + m^U_{l+1,2} + m^U_{l,2,1}. \tag{4}$$

This formula and its proof are similar to the previous one.

**Theorem 3.10.** *For natural $l$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} M^U_{l,2,1} =& \{(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ |z) \in P_{l,2,1}|\ (\vec{w}\ ;\vec{q}\ ) \in M^U_{l,2},\ (\vec{w}\ ;z) \in M^U_{l,1},\ (\vec{q}\ ;z) \in M^U_{2,1},\} \\ & \cup \{(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ |z) \in P_{l,2,1}|\ s_l \succ z \succ \vec{q},\ \exists\gamma \in \mathbb{N},\ \textit{such that } \theta < \gamma < l,\ s_\gamma \sim z,\ s_\gamma \succ q_2\} \\ & \cup \{(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ |z) \in P_{l,2,1}|\ s_l \succ z \succ q_2,\ \exists\gamma \in \mathbb{N},\ \textit{such that } \theta < \gamma < l,\ s_\gamma \sim z,\ z \sim q_1,\ s_\gamma \succ q_1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

*Then,*

$$m^U_{l,2,1} = \sum_{(\vec{w};q_0,q_1;z)\in M^U_{l,2,1}} w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_l \cdot q_0 \cdot q_1 \cdot z.$$

**Remark 3.11.** *According to Remark 2.4, this implies $c_{n-3,2,1}(U) \geq 0$.*

Let us explain the meaning of $M^U_{l,2,1}$. This theorem states that in addition to combinations of pairwise comparable corrects of lengths $l$, 2 and 1 we have two more cases:




Figure 7: First exceptional element of $M^U_{l,2,1}$




Figure 8: Second exceptional element of $M^U_{l,2,1}$

*Proof.* To prove Theorem 3.10 using Formula 4, we construct the maps $\varphi_{l|2,1}$ and $\psi_{l|2,1}$.

**I**. We construct the map from the left hand side to the right hand side

$$\phi_{l|2,1} : P_l^U \times M_{2,1}^U \to M_{l+2,1}^U \sqcup M_{l+1,2}^U \sqcup M_{l,2,1}^U.$$

Let us take

$$(\vec{w}\ |q_1, q_2\ ; z) \in P_l^U \times M_{2,1}^U.$$

Let

$$\theta = \max(\{i < l |\ w_i \sim w_{i+1}\}).$$

We will use this $\theta$ for $w$ on the right hand side as well.

1. If $z \succ \vec{q}$.

11. If $z \succ \vec{w}$.

111. If $(\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ) \in M_{l,2}^U$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M_{l,2,1}^U.$$

**II.** We construct

$$\psi_{l|2,1}^1 : M_{l+2,1}^U \to P_l^U \times M_{2,1}^U,$$

$$\psi_{l|2,1}^2 : M_{l+1,2}^U \to P_l^U \times M_{2,1}^U,$$

$$\psi_{l|2,1}^3 : M_{l,2,1}^U \to P_l^U \times M_{2,1}^U.$$

We take

$$(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) \in M_{l+2,1}^U;$$

$$(\vec{w}, \xi\ ;\ q_0, q_1) \in M_{l+1,2}^U;$$

$$(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M_{l,2,1}^U.$$

Let $\breve{\theta} = \max(\{i < l + 2 |\ u_i \sim u_{i+1}\})$.

1. If $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M_{l,2,1}^U$ and $z \succ \vec{q}$ and $z \succ \vec{w}$, then

$$\psi_{l|2,1}^3(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |\ q_0, q_1\ ; z).$$

In this case we have 2 illustrations:




Figure 9: Illustration of $\phi_{l|2,1}$, case 111., and $\psi_{l|2,1}^3$, case 1.




Figure 10: Illustration of $\phi_{l|2,1}$, case 111., and $\psi_{l|2,1}^3$, case 1.

112. If $(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ) \notin M^U_{l,2}$, then using

$$\phi_{l|2}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ) \in M^U_{l+2}$$

we have

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ |z) = (\phi_{l|2}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ )\ ; z) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$$

2. If $(\vec{u}\ ;\xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$ and $\xi \succ \vec{u}$, then using

$$\psi_{l|2}(\vec{u}\ ) \in M^U_{l,2}$$

we have

$$\psi^1_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\ ; z) = (\psi_{l|2}(\vec{u}\ );\ \xi).$$

Here, we provide illustrations for the right hand side, see Theorem 3.7, where $\phi_{l|2}$ and $\psi_{l|2}$ are defined, for more details.




Figure 11: Illustration of $\psi^1_{l|2,1}$, when $\xi \succ \vec{u}$, general case

Note that the picture above illustrates most of the cases, except the special one, when $u_{l+2} \succ u_{l+1}$, $u_{l+2} \succ J_{l-1}$ and $u_{l+2} \sim u_l$. In this case map $\psi_{l|2}$ takes out $u_{l+2}$ and $u_l$:




Figure 12: Illustration of $\psi^1_{l|2,1}$ (special case),
if $u_{l+2} \succ u_{l+1}$, $u_{l+2} \succ \{u_1, ..., u_{l-1}\}$ and $\xi \succ \vec{u}$.

12. If $z \not\prec w_l$ and $z \not\succ \vec{w}$, then denote

$$(\hat{w}_\theta, \hat{w}_{\theta+1}) = \begin{cases} (w_l, z), & \text{if } w_l \sim z, \\ (w_\theta, w_{\theta+1}), & z \succ w_l. \end{cases}$$

121. If $\hat{w}_\theta \succ q_0$ and $\hat{w}_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}, z\ ; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}.$$

3. For $(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}$, such that
$(\xi \succ w_l,\ w_\theta \succ q_0,\ w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1,$ and $\xi \succ q_0)$,
or
$(\xi \sim w_l,\ w_l \succ q_0,\ \xi \succ q_1,$ and $\xi \succ q_0)$,
we have:

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; \xi).$$




Figure 13: Illustration of 121.| 3.

122. If $\hat{w}_{\theta+1} \not\succ q_1$ or $\hat{w}_\theta \not\succ q_0$, we take

$$\tau = \max(\{i < l | w_i \not\succ q_1 \vee w_i \sim w_{i+1} \vee w_i \sim z\})$$

(note that $\tau \geq \theta$), and insert $q_0$ or $q_1$ after it:

1221. If $q_0 \prec w_\tau$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w} \,|\vec{q}\,; z) =$$
$$= (w_1, ..., w_\tau, q_1, w_{\tau+1}, ..., w_l, z\,; q_0) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$$

4 For $(\vec{u}\,; \xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, such that $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$, define

$$\eta = \max(0, \{i \geq \breve{\theta} | u_i \sim \xi\}).$$

41. If $\eta > 0$, then we take out $u_\eta$, $u_{l+2}$ and $\xi$:

411. If $\eta = \breve{\theta} + 1$ and $u_{\breve{\theta}} \succ \xi$, then

$$\psi^1_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\,; \xi) = (u_1, ..., u_{\eta-1}, u_{\eta+1}, ..., u_{l+1} \,|\xi, u_\eta; u_{l+2}).$$




Figure 14: Illustration of 1221.|411.

1222. If $q_0 \not\prec s_\tau$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w} \,|\vec{q}\,; z) =$$
$$= (w_1, ..., w_\tau, q_0, s_{\tau+1}, ..., w_l, z\,; q_1) \in M^U_{l+2,1}.$$

412. If $(\eta = \theta$ and $\xi \prec u_{\theta+1})$ or $(\eta = \theta + 1$ and $(u_\theta \sim u_{\breve{\theta}+2}$ or $u_{\breve{\theta}} \not\succ \xi\,)$ or $\eta > \breve{\theta} + 1$, then

$$\psi^1_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\,; \xi) = (u_1, ..., u_{\eta-1}, u_{\eta+1}, ..., u_{l+1} \,|u_\eta, \xi\,; u_{l+2}).$$




Figure 15: Illustration of 1222.|412.

Here we have a special case when $\tau = \theta$. Note that it is possible that $w_\tau \succ q_1$:




Figure 16: Illustration of 1222.|412.

13. If $z \prec w_l$.

131. If $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$ and $w_\theta \succ q_0$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

5. If $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$ and $z \succ \vec{q}$, and $z \prec w_l$, and $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$ and $w_\theta \succ q_0$, then

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 17: Illustration of 131.|5.

132. If $w_{\theta+1} \not\succ q_1$ or $w_\theta \not\succ q_0$, then Let

$$\gamma = \max(0, \{\theta < i < l | w_i \sim z\}).$$

1321. if $\gamma > \theta$ and $\gamma > \tau$ (i.e. $\exists\ w_\gamma \sim z$), then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

This is the first type exceptional element, shown before on the Figure 7 and on the picture below:

6. If $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$ is the first type exceptional element , then

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 18: First exceptional element of $M^U_{l,2,1}$

1322. Otherwise (i.e. if $\gamma = 0$ or $\gamma < \tau$), we have:

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\phi_{l|2}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ); z).$$

7. For $(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, such that

$$\xi \prec u_{l+2} \text{ and } \eta = 0,$$

what implies

$$(\xi \succ u_{\theta+1} \text{ and } \xi \succ u_\theta),$$

we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) = (\psi_{l|2}(\vec{u}\ ); \xi).$$




Figure 19: Illustration of 332.|19. (general case)

2. If $q_1 \succ z$ and $q_0 \sim z$.

21. If $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$

211. If $z \succ \vec{w}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

8. If For $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$, such that

$$q_1 \succ z \text{ and } q_0 \sim z \text{ and } z \succ \vec{w},$$

we have

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 20: Illustration of 211.|8.

212. If $z \not\succ \vec{w}$ and $z \not\prec w_l$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}, z\ ; \vec{q}\ ) \in M^U_{l+1,2}.$$

9. For $(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}$, such that $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$, $q_0 \sim \xi$ and $q_1 \succ \xi$, we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; \xi).$$




Figure 21: Illustration of 212.|9.

22. If $q_0 \not\succ \vec{w}$ and $q_0 \not\prec w_l$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}, q_0, q_1\ ; z) \in M^U_{l+2,1}.$$

10. For $(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, such that $u_{l+1} \sim \xi$, $u_{l+1} \sim u_{l+2}$, and $u_{l+2} \succ \xi$, we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) = (u_1, ..., u_l | u_{l+1}, u_{l+2}\ \xi).$$




Figure 22: Illustration of 22.|10.

23. If $q_0 \prec w_l$.

231. If $q_1 \sim w_l$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ;z) = (\vec{w}, q_1; q_0, z) \in M^U_{l+1,2}.$$

11. For $(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}$, such that

$$\xi \sim w_l \text{ and } \xi \sim q_0 \text{ and } q_0 \prec w_l \text{ and } q_1 \prec \xi,$$

we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, \xi\ ; q_1).$$




Figure 23: Illustration of 231.|11.

232. If $q_1 \prec w_l$ and $w_\theta \succ q_0$ and $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ;z) = (\vec{w}\ ;\vec{q}\ ;z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

12. For $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$, such that
$w_\theta \succ q_0$ and $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$ and $q_0 \sim z$ and $q_1 \succ z$,
we have

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 24: Illustration of 232.|12.

233. If $q_1 \prec w_l$ and ($w_\theta \not\succ q_0$ or $w_{\theta+1} \not\succ q_1$), let

$$\tau = \max(\{i < l | w_i \not\succ q_1 \vee w_i \sim w_{i+1}\}).$$

2331. If $q_0 \prec w_\tau$ ($\Rightarrow\ q_1 \sim w_\tau$), then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ ; \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

This case is isomorphic to the second exceptional element type, shown below:

13. If $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$ has the second exceptional element type, then

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 25: Illustration of 2331.|13.
(Second exceptional element from $M^U_{l,2,1}$ ).

2332. If $q_0 \not\prec w_\tau$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\phi_{l|2}(\vec{w}\ |q_0, z)\ ; q_1) =$$
$$= (w_1, ..., w_\tau, q_0, z, w_{\tau+1}, ..., w_l\ ; q_1) \in M^U_{l+2,1}.$$

The following 3 pictures illustrate this case:

14. For $(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, such that $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$, $\eta = \theta$ and $\xi \succ u_{\theta+1}$, we have

$$\psi^1_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) = (u_1, ..., u_{\theta-1}, u_{\theta+2}, ..., u_{l+2}\ |u_\theta, \xi\ ; u_{\theta+1}).$$

As a reminder,

$$\breve{\theta} = \max(\{i < l + 2|\ u_i \sim u_{i+1}\}),$$
$$\eta = \max(0, \{i \geq \theta | u_i \sim \xi\}).$$




Figure 26: Illustration of 2332.|14.
($\phi_{l|2,1}$, if $\tau = \theta$. Note that it may happen that $w_\tau \succ q_1$).




Figure 27: Illustration of 2332.|14.
($\phi_{l|2,1}$, if $q_1 \sim w_\tau$ and $w_\tau \sim q_0$.)




Figure 28: Illustration of 2332.|14.
($\phi_{l|2,1}$, if $q_1 \succ w_\tau$.)

3. If $q_1 \succ z$ and $q_0 \succ z$.

   This is the easiest case, since we insert $\vec{q}$ and $z$ independently.

31. If $z \succ \vec{w}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

15. For $(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$, such that $z \succ \vec{w}$, $q_0 \succ z$ and $q_1 \succ z$,

    we have

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ ; q_0, q_1; z) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; z).$$




Figure 29: Illustration of 31.|15.

32. If $z \not\prec w_l$ and $z \not\succ \vec{w}$ ($\Rightarrow q_0 \not\prec w_l$)

321. If $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}, z\ ; \vec{q}\ ) \in M^U_{l+1,2}.$$

16. If $(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}$,
and $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$, and $q_1 \succ \xi$, then

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}, \xi\ ; q_0, q_1) = (\vec{w}\ |q_0, q_1; \xi).$$




Figure 30: Illustration of 321.|16.

322. If $q_0 \not\succ \vec{w}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\ |\vec{q}\ ; z) = (\vec{w}\ , \vec{q}\ ; z) \in M^U_{l+2,1}.$$

17. For $(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, such that

$$\xi \prec u_{l+2} \text{ and } \xi \prec u_{l+2},$$

what implies

$$(\xi \prec u_{\theta+1} \text{ and } \xi \prec u_\theta),$$

we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\ ; \xi) = (\psi_{l|2}(\vec{u}\ ); \xi) = (u_1, ..., u_l | u_{l+1}, u_{l+2}; \xi).$$




Figure 31: Illustration of 322.|17.

33. If $z \prec w_l$

331. If $(\vec{w}\,;\vec{q}\,) \in M^U_{l,2}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\,|\vec{q}\,;z) = (\vec{w}\,;\vec{q}\,;z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}.$$

18. If $(\vec{w}\,;q_0,q_1;z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$, and

$$q_0 \succ z,\ q_1 \succ z \text{ and } w_l \succ z, \text{ then}$$

$$\psi^3_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\,;\vec{q}\,;z) = (\vec{w}\,|\vec{q}\,;z).$$




Figure 32: Illustration of 331.|18.

332. If $(\vec{w}\,|\vec{q}\,) \notin M^U_{l,2}$, then

$$\phi_{l|2,1}(\vec{w}\,|\vec{q}\,;z) = (\phi_{l|2}(\vec{w}\,|\vec{q}\,);z) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$$

19. If $(\vec{u}\,|\xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$, $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$ and $\eta = 0$, what implies

$$(\xi \prec u_{\theta+1} \text{ and } \xi \prec u_\theta),$$

then we have

$$\psi^2_{l|2,1}(\vec{u}\,;\xi) = (\psi_{l|2}(\vec{u}\,);\xi).$$




Figure 33: Illustration of 332.|19. (general case)

It is easy to see that by construction the left hand side fully describes the set $P^U_l \times M^U_{2,1}$. Now, we check that the right hand side coincide with $M^U_{l+2,1} \sqcup M^U_{l+1,2} \sqcup M^U_{l,2,1}$:

- Let $(\vec{u}\,;\xi) \in M^U_{l+2,1}$. Then the following cases from the right hand side clearly describe $M^U_{l,2,1}$:
  2. $\xi \succ \vec{u}$;
  4. $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$ and $\eta > 0$;
  7. $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$, $\eta = 0$ and $(\xi \succ u_{\theta+1}$ and $\xi \succ u_\theta)$;
  19. $\xi \prec u_{l+2}$, $\eta = 0$ and $(\xi \prec u_{\theta+1}$ and $\xi \prec u_\theta)$.

- Let $(\vec{w}\,, \xi; q_0, q_1) \in M^U_{l+1,2}$. Then the following cases from the right hand side clearly describe $M^U_{l,2,1}$.:

    3. ($\xi \succ w_l$, $w_\theta \succ q_0$, $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$, and $\xi \succ q_0$), or ($\xi \sim w_l$, $w_l \succ q_0$, $\xi \succ q_1$, and $\xi \succ q_0$);
    11. ($\xi \sim w_l$, $w_l \succ q_0$, $\xi \succ q_1$, and $\xi \sim q_0$);

    9. $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$, $q_1 \succ \xi$ and $q_0 \sim \xi$;
    16. $q_0 \succ \vec{w}$, $q_1 \succ \xi$ and $q_0 \succ \xi$.

- Let $(\vec{w}\,; q_0, q_1; z) \in M^U_{l,2,1}$. Then the following cases from the right hand side clearly describe $M^U_{l,2,1}$.:

    1. $z \succ \vec{q}$ and $z \succ w$;
    5. $z \succ \vec{q}$ and $z \prec w_l$;

    8. $q_0 \sim z$, $q_1 \succ z$ and $z \succ \vec{w}$;
    12. $q_0 \sim z$, $q_1 \succ z$ and ($w_\theta \succ q_0$ and $w_{\theta+1} \succ q_1$);

    15. $q_0 \succ z$, $q_1 \succ z$ and $z \prec w_l$;
    18. $q_0 \succ z$, $q_1 \succ z$ and $z \prec w_l$;

    6. First exceptional type element;
    13. Second exceptional type element.

Since every number from 1 to 19 was used exactly once, this completes the proof.

□

**Theorem 3.12.** *For natural numbers $l$ and $k$, let*

$$M^U_{2^l,1^k} = \{(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\varepsilon}\,) \in E^U_l \times E^U_{k+l} |\ \exists \{i_j\}_{j=1}^l,\ 0 < i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_l < k+l,\ \textit{s.t. } \xi_j \sim \varepsilon_{i_j} \textit{ for } 1 \le j \le l\},$$

*Then*

$$m^U_{2^l,1^k} = \sum_{(\vec{\xi},\vec{\varepsilon}) \in M^U_{2^l,1^k}} \xi_1 \cdot ... \cdot \xi_l \cdot \varepsilon_1 \cdot ... \cdot \varepsilon_{l+k}.$$

**Remark 3.13.** *According to Remark 2.4, this implies $c_{2^k,1^{n-2k}}(U) \ge 0$.*

The proof is omitted and can be found in [9].

# References


[1] D. Scott and P. Suppes *Foundational aspects of theories of measurement*, Journal of Symbolic Logic (1954), 23, 113–128.

[2] R. Stanley, *A Symmetric Function Generalization of the Chromatic Polynomial of a Graph*, Advances in Mathematics (1995), 111, 166–194.

[3] V. Gasharov, *Incomparability Graphs of (3+1)-free posets are s-positive*, Discrete Mathematics (1995), 157, 193-197.

[4] J. Taylor, *Chromatic Symmetric Functions of Hypertrees*, arXiv:math.co/1506.08262 (2015).

[5] T. Chow, *A Note on a Combinatorial Interpretation of the e-Coefficients of the Chromatic Symmetric Function*, arXiv:math.co/9712230v2 (1995).

[6] M. Guay-Paquet, *A modular relation for the chromatic symmetric functions of (3+1)-free posets*, arXiv:math.co/1306.2400 (2013).

[7] R. Stanley, *Graph colorings and related symmetric functions: Ideas and Applications*, MIT (1995).

[8] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1979).

[9] A. Paunov *Positivity for Stanley's chromatic functions*, Genève University, (2016), available from: http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:87600

[10] A. Paunov *Planar graphs and Stanley's Chromatic Functions*, preprint, (2016), available from: Arxiv.

[11] M. Fulmek, *Viewing determinants as nonintersecting lattice paths yields classical determinantal identities bijectively*, arXiv:math.co/1010.3860 (2010).